OK TO ENTER: /F.S./

11/02/2009

10782254 - GAU: 2165

<u>CLAIMS</u>

1. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

receiving an input of data, the input data conforming to a query language used

by a filter engine comprising two or more filter sub-engines, wherein at least one filter

sub-engine is a general filter sub-engine and at least one filter sub-engine is an

optimized filter sub-engine, and wherein the query language is based on eXtensible

Markup Language (XML);

determining whether the input data conforms to a grammar associated with the

optimized filter sub-engine, wherein the optimized filter sub-engine is configured to

handle only a subset of the query language handled by the general filter sub-engine;

in an event the determining indicates the input data conforms to the grammar

associated with the optimized filter sub-engine:

determining whether the input data can be processed by the optimized

filter sub-engine, the determining comprising identifying if the input data

comprises a subset of the query language; and

directing the input data to the optimized filter sub-engine for processing;

in an event the determining indicates that the input data cannot be processed by

the optimized filter sub-engine:

determining whether the input data can be processed by a second

optimized filter sub-engine, wherein the second optimized filter sub-engine is

configured to handle only a subset of the query language, and wherein the

subset of the query language that the second optimized filter sub-engine is

Serial No.: 10/782,254

Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US

Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

-2- lee@hayes The Business of IP\*

configured to handle excludes the subset of the query language that the first

optimized filter sub-engine is configured to handle; and

directing the input data to the second optimized filter sub-engine for

processing;

in an event the determining indicates that the input cannot be processed by the

second optimized filter sub-engine, directing the input to the general filter sub-engine for

processing, wherein the general filter sub-engine is configured to handle all aspects of

the query language; and

processing the input to derive a result.

- 2. (Canceled)
- 3. (Canceled)
- 4. (Canceled)
- 5. (Canceled)

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

**6.** (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1, the method

further comprising:

parsing the input to identify first and second sub-expressions;

determining whether the first sub-expression can be processed by the

optimized filter sub-engine;

in an event the first sub-expression can be processed by the optimized filter

sub-engine, then directing the first sub-expression to the optimized filter sub-engine for

processing;

in an event the first sub-expression cannot be processed by the optimized filter

sub-engine, directing the first sub-expression to the general filter sub-engine for

processing;

determining whether the second sub-expression can be processed by the

optimized filter sub-engine;

in an event the second sub-expression can be processed by the optimized

filter sub-engine, directing the second sub-expression to the optimized filter sub-engine

for processing; and

in an event the second sub-expression cannot be processed by the optimized

filter sub-engine, directing the second sub-expression to the general filter sub-engine for

processing.

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

-4- lee@hayes The Business of IP\*

**7. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 6, further comprising:

obtaining a result of the processing of the first sub-expression; and

processing the second sub-expression only if the result of the first sub-

expression is true.

**8.** (Currently Amended) A filter engine <u>system</u> comprising:

a processor coupled to a memory, the memory configured with instructions for

implementing:

an optimized filter sub-engine configured to accept an input that conforms to a

language and process the input against a filter table associated with the optimized filter

sub-engine, wherein the optimized filter sub-engine is configured to process only a

subset of terms of the language, wherein the subset of terms of the language does not

include all terms of the language, and wherein the language comprises a query

language based on eXtensible Markup Language (XML);

a general filter sub-engine configured to accept the input and process the

input against a filter table associated with the general filter sub-engine, wherein the

general filter sub-engine is configured to process all terms of the input language; and

an analyzer configured to determine whether the input can be processed by

the optimized filter sub-engine and, if so, direct the input to the optimized filter sub-

engine for processing or, if not, direct the input to the general filter sub-engine for

-5-

processing.

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US

Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

**9.** (Currently Amended) The filter engine <u>system</u> as recited in claim 8,

wherein the analyzer is further configured to analyze a new filter added to the filter

engine and to determine an appropriate filter sub-engine with which to associate the

new filter.

10. (Currently Amended) The filter engine system as recited in claim 8,

wherein the language is XPath additionally comprises XML Path Language (XPath).

11. (Currently Amended) The filter engine system as recited in claim 8,

wherein the analyzer is further configured to determine whether the optimized filter sub-

engine can process the input by comparing the input to a grammar associated with the

optimized filter sub-engine and determining whether the input consists of terms that are

compatible with the grammar.

**12.** (Currently Amended) The filter engine system as recited in claim 8,

further comprising a sub-expression module that is configured to perform acts

comprising:

determine whether the input consists of different sub-expressions;

in an event the input consists of different sub-expressions, directing each of

the different sub-expressions contained in the input to the analyzer, wherein the

analyzer is further configured to determine whether each of the different sub-

expressions can be processed by the optimized filter sub-engine and to direct each of

the different sub-expressions to an appropriate filter sub-engine for processing.

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US

Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

-6- lee@hayes The Business of IP\*

13. (Currently Amended) The filter engine system as recited in claim 12,

wherein a first of the different sub-expressions is directed to the optimized filter sub-

engine and a second of the different sub-expressions is directed to the general filter

sub-engine.

14. (Currently Amended) The filter engine system as recited in claim 8,

wherein the optimized filter sub-engine comprises:

a first optimized filter sub-engine configured to process inputs that conform to

a first subset of the language; and

a second optimized filter sub-engine configured to process inputs that conform

to a second subset of the language;

wherein the first subset of the language is different from the second subset of

the input language.

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

**15.** (Currently Amended) A computer-readable storage medium encoded

with instructions that, when executed by a processor of a device, cause the device to

perform acts comprising:

determining an appropriate filter sub-engine to which an input message should

be directed for processing against a set of queries;

processing the input message using an optimized filter sub-engine if the

optimized filter sub-engine comprises a grammar that supports processing of the input

message;

processing the input message in a general filter sub-engine if the optimized

filter sub-engine grammar does not support processing of the input message; and

wherein:

the input message is in accordance with a query language based on

<u>eXtensible Markup Language (XML);</u>

the optimized filter sub-engine supports a subset, less than the

whole, of the query language; and

the general filter sub-engine supports the entire query language.

**16. (Previously Presented)** The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 15, further comprising computer-executable instructions that, when

executed, direct the computing system to perform acts comprising:

accept input messages for both the optimized filter sub-engine and the general

filter sub-engine by way of a single input means so that an input message sending

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US

Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

-8- lee@hayes The Business of IP\*

application is not required to distinguish between the optimized filter sub-engine and the

general filter sub-engine.

17. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 15, wherein the query language is XPath.

**18.** (Previously Presented) The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 15, wherein the query language is an XML query language.

19. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 15, further comprising computer-executable instructions that, when

executed, direct the computing system to perform acts comprising:

prior to determining which filter sub-engine will process the input message,

parse the input message into two or more sub-expressions;

for each of the two or more sub-expressions, determine an appropriate filter

sub-engine that can process the sub-expression; and

direct each of the two or more sub-expressions to the appropriate filter sub-

engine for processing.

20. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 19, further comprising computer-executable instructions that, when

executed, direct the computing system to derive a final result of the input message

processing from at least one result of the sub-expression processing.

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US

Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

-9- lee@hayes The Business of IP\*

21. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 19, further comprising computer-executable instructions that, when

executed, direct the computing system to perform acts comprising:

determine if a first of the two or more sub-expressions evaluates true;

proceed with processing of subsequent sub-expressions of the two or more

sub-expressions if the first sub-expression evaluates to true; and

forego processing of subsequent sub-expressions of the two or more sub-

expressions if the first sub-expression evaluates to false.

22. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable storage medium as

recited in claim 15, wherein each filter sub-engine includes a set of queries against

which input messages directed to the respective filter sub-engine are tried, and wherein

each set of queries is unique.

23. – 32. (Canceled)

**33.** (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1, wherein:

determining comprises generating a hash of the input data in order to determine if an

-10-

optimized sub-engine is capable of handling the input data.

Serial No.: 10/782,254 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1862US Atty/Agent:John C. Meline

lee@hayes The Business of IP®

iccevilayes inc si